

LATE REPRESENTATIONS

Committee PLANNING COMMITTEE

Date and Time of Meeting

WEDNESDAY, 21 NOVEMBER 2018, 1.30 PM

Please see attached Late Representation Schedule received in respect of applications to be determined at this Planning Committee

Late Reps 21.11.18 (Pages 1 - 10)



LATE REPRESENTATIONS SCHEDULE PLANNING COMMITTEE – 21ST NOVEMBER 2018

PAGE NO. 1	APPLICATION NO. 18/00455/MJR
ADDRESS:	HOLIDAY INN EXPRESS, LONGUEIL CLOSE, ATLANTIC WHARF, CARDIFF
FROM:	Glamorgan Gwent Archaeological Trust
SUMMARY:	As you will recall from our response to the original submission of this application, information in the Historic Environment Record shows that the application area is located immediately to the west of Bute East Dock, which was the second dock opened by Lord Bute, in stages from 1855 to 1859. The dock was entered from the sea by a two gate lock, where there was a basin and a further lock to enter the main dock. By 1890, there were 30 staiths, three ballast cranes and 22 hydraulic cranes. A review of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping indicates that the proposed development area contains industrial features in the form of tramways and railway sidings and coal staiths.
	Historic aerial photographs show the site to have been completely cleared by the mid 1990s, and the existing hotel buildings erected on the site. As the historic features would not have had any depth, and the groundworks for the hotel would have been on remediated ground, the current proposal is unlikely to have any adverse archaeological impact.
	The further information submitted does not alter our response and therefore, due to the nature of the proposal, it is therefore our opinion that there will not be a need for archaeological mitigation in this case, and it is unlikely that previously unexpected archaeological remains would be encountered during the development work. However, the Record is not definitive, and should construction works encounter archaeological remains, please contact us as mitigation may be necessary.
REMARKS:	These comments have been forwarded to the applicant

PAGE NO. 42	APPLICATION NO. 18/01028/MJR
ADDRESS	56 WERN GOCH WEST
FROM:	The Owner of no. 55 Wern Goch West
SUMMARY:	
	With reference to the above development I have just noticed on the plans that there is a new dividing fence to be erected on the front wall of my property. I appreciate that there is a fence there now but that was put there a few years ago whilst I was at work. I wasn't consulted, didn't agree
	to it and certainly didn't want it. There is already a small wall on my property dividing myself and No. 56 - if this is not sufficient for the new build then could you please adjust your plans for the fence to be attached to No. 56 only.

	Could you also please give Mr. Patel my email address so he can contact me should he need to discuss anything regarding the Party Wall.
	I have copied Mr Justin Jones in, Planning Officer, just in case this information is relevant to him
	Agents response: We are not proposing to change the existing fence, it is to remains as is.
REMARKS:	Point raised and agent response is noted. Matters of Party Wall Act. are civil matters that are outside the scope of the planning system to consider.

PAGE NO. 59	APPLICATION NO. 18/1280/MJR
ADDRESS:	LAND ADJACENT TO, 12 - 14 DRAKE WALK, ATLANTIC WHARF, CARDIFF
FROM:	Cllr. Saeed Ebrahim, Local Member.
SUMMARY:	Cllr. Ebrahim, Local Member for Butetown, emailed on 14 th November, as follows:
	I would like to register my objection to planning application 18/01280/MJR for student accommodation at 12-14 Drake Walk. I have been contacted by residents who are also concerned.
	The plans include a fifth-floor terrace overlooking flats at Edward England Wharf. Students throwing parties on this terrace will likely cause a noise nuisance for residents at Edward England Wharf.
	Because of the loss of amenity to residents of Edward England Wharf, and the potential for antisocial behaviour, I ask members of the planning committee to reject this application.
	Any complaints about late night noise will be investigated by the Council's Environmental Health team, and appropriate measures taken in accordance with separate noise control legislation. The management of the student housing development will be responsible for how and when the roof terrace is used.
	Please note that in this case the proposed roof terrace is located approximately 25m away on the other side of the dock feeder and Pollution Control (Noise) in their consultation response have not raised concerns over the roof terraces.

PAGE NO. 88	APPLICATION NO. 18/01627/MJR
ADDRESS:	10 WINDERMERE AVENUE, ROATH PARK, CARDIFF, CF23 5PQ
FROM:	Applicant's Agent
SUMMARY:	The agent has provided photographs taken by the applicant along Windermere Avenue on the 14 th to 18 th November 2018. It is considered that the photographs illustrate the actual parking situation along the road in vicinity of the application site, which 'is not as bad as expressed' during the Committee Site Visit.



Date Taken from File Information: Wednesday, 14 November 2018 22:53



Date Taken from File Information: Thursday, 15 November 2018 10:20



Date Taken from File Information: Thursday, 15 November 2018 10:21



Date Taken from File Information: Thursday, 15 November 2018 10:22



Date Taken from File Information: Thursday, 15 November 2018 16:02



Date Taken from File Information: Thursday, 15 November 2018 16:04



Date Taken from File Information: Thursday, 15 November 2018 16:04

Date Taken from File Information: n/a



Date Taken from File Information: n/a



Date Taken from File Information: n/a



Date Taken from File Information: n/a



Date Taken from File Information: n/a



Date Taken from File Information: n/a



Date Taken from File Information: n/a

PAGE NO.	APPLICATION NO. 18/01769/MJR
ADDRESS	UHW, King George V Drive East, Heath
FROM:	Head of Planning
SUMMARY:	Application has been withdrawn from the Agenda to ensure correct land ownership certificates have been served.
REMARKS:	Noted

PAGE NO. 158	APPLICATION NO. 18/02078/DCH
ADDRESS:	14 HIGH STREET, LLANDAFF, CARDIFF
FROM:	Head of Planning
SUMMARY:	The petition received in support of the application contains 39 signatures and does not contain any addresses, therefore does not demonstrate that the named persons could be affected by the matter. A valid petition must contain signatures of not less than 50 Cardiff electors with their addresses, a substantial proportion of whom could be reasonably be expected to be affected by the matter.
REMARKS:	Paragraph 7.4 of the report corrected as follows: 7.4 A petition of support for the proposal was received, signed by 39 persons with no addresses specified. Full details are viewable online. As no addresses are specified it does not demonstrate that the named persons could be affected by the matter and contains less than 50 signatures, therefore is not valid.

